

Julie Kennedy, President  
Lisa Palmer, Vice President  
Tom Fayram, Director  
Greg Parks, Director  
Nina Stormo, Director



**LOS OLIVOS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
REGULAR MEETING**

**Posted: 5-10-2024**

**May 15, 2024, 6PM (PST)  
Los Olivos Grange Hall**

**2374 Alamo Pintado Ave, Los Olivos CA 93441**

**Please observe decorum and instructions from the President**

This meeting will be held both in-person and electronically via Zoom meetings. In-person the meeting will be held at the following location:  
St Mark's in the Valley Episcopal Church, Stacy Hall - 2901 Nojoqui Ave, Los Olivos CA 93441

The public will also be able to hear and participate electronically by using the following links:

On Zoom:

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86135156557?pwd=4sl90bmVH88b51RlbLhlyUaGD52CFF.1>

By Phone:

Meeting ID: 861 3515 6557      Passcode: 157483

One tap mobile: +16699006833,,86135156557#,,,,\*157483# US (San Jose)

The Los Olivos Community Services District (LOCSD) is committed to ensuring equal access to meetings. In compliance with the American Disabilities Act (ADA), if you need special assistance to participate in the meeting or need this agenda provided in a disability-related alternative format, please call 805.500.4098 or email to [losolivoscscsd@gmail.com](mailto:losolivoscscsd@gmail.com). Agendas and meeting packets are generally available to the public at the Los Olivos Post Office - 2880 Grand Avenue. Any public records, which are distributed less than 72 hours prior to this meeting to all, or a majority of all, of the District's Board members in connection with any agenda item (other than closed sessions) will be available for public inspection at the time of such distribution at a location to be determined in Los Olivos, California 93441.

**MEETING AGENDA**

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

**President Kennedy calls the meeting to order at: 6:01 PM**

**2. ROLL CALL**

**Present:** President Kennedy, Vice President Palmer, Director Parks, Director Stormo

**Absent:** Director Fayram

**3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**4. PUBLIC COMMENT**

Members of the public may address the Board of Directors on any items of interest within the subject matter and jurisdiction of the Board but not on the agenda today (Gov. Code - 54954.3). The public may also request future agenda topics at this time. Speakers are limited to a maximum of 3 minutes. Due to the requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act, the Board of Directors cannot take action today on any matter not on the agenda, but a matter raised during Public Comments can be referred to District staff for discussion and possible action at a future meeting.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

Anna Marie Gott and Paul Rohrer speak.

**INFORMATIONAL ITEM:**

Per public request, a brief report from the General Manager that conveys District status and updates is being added at the beginning of the agenda. This status report may touch on key items in the project plan or schedule. The General Manager will leave other detailed reporting, including budgetary reporting until the end of the meeting. This item is informational only, no action will be taken, and no public comment will be received.

**Los Olivos Community Services District, P.O. Box 345, Los Olivos, CA 93441, (805) 500-4098**

[losolivoscscsd@gmail.com](mailto:losolivoscscsd@gmail.com), [www.losolivoscscsd.com](http://www.losolivoscscsd.com)

**5. GENERAL MANAGER’S BRIEF DISTRICT STATUS REPORT**

GM Savage notes that the important that are underway are on the agenda this evening. He quickly notes groundwater testing, the budget, and the REGEN report.

**ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION ITEMS:**

All matters listed hereunder constitute an administrative / consent agenda and will be acted upon by a single vote of the Board. Matters listed on the Consent Agenda will be read only on the request of a member of the Board, in which event the matter may be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item. The public may comment on any of the items prior to the vote being taken by the Board.

**6. CONSENT AGENDA**

**A. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES**

Meeting minutes of April 10, 2024.

**B. APPROVAL PAYMENT OF INVOICES RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE MAY 1, 2024.**

The invoices below have been reviewed by the Finance Subcommittee and are recommended for approval.

No.	Invoice Date	Invoice #	Provider	Amount
1	4/2/2024	1308	REGEN – 30% Engineering – Hybrid Models	\$ 13,300.00
2	4/4/2024	85656	Aleshire & Wynder – Legal Services	\$ 684.00
3	4/16/2024	86112	MNS Engineering – Engineering and Support Svcs.	\$ 1,420.00
4	4/11/2024	00876.004 - 3	GSI – Groundwater Monitoring Wells (Grant Reimbursable)	\$ 11,252.50
5	5/1/2024	20244	Savage – GM Services (Portions Grant Reimbursable)	\$ 4,423.23

The invoices below have been reviewed by the Finance Subcommittee and are not recommended for approval at this time. Instead, the Finance Subcommittee recommends that the invoice be held until the final report from REGEN is received.

No.	Invoice Date	Invoice #	Provider	Amount
1	5/1/2024	1321	REGEN – 30% Engineering – Hybrid Models	\$ 11,250.00

Project	Vendor	To Date (inc. above)	Remaining Authorization
Audit (Pre 2023)	Moss, Levy & Hartzheim, LLP	\$ 2,780.00	\$ 4,995.00
Audit (2023)	Moss, Levy & Hartzheim, LLP	\$ 0.00	\$ 2,900.00
30% Hybrid Design	REGEN, LLC.	\$ 71,856.59	\$ 3,143.41
Groundwater Wells	Various	\$ 97,335.36	\$ 24,109.64

GM Savage introduces the item. He notes that the Finance Subcommittee is not recommending the second REGEN invoice as the REGEN report has not been heard and its funding is contractually tied to the Final Report. He adds that he has it coordinating with MLH on the 2023 Audit work, with the help of DE Pike.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

No requests to speak.

**Motion to approve the Consent Agenda items A, excluding invoice #1321 from REGEN.**

**Motion by: Director Palmer; Second: Director Parks**

**Voice vote: 4-0**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

**7. REGEN REPORT ON THE 30% DESIGN FOR A HYBRID COLLECTION SYSTEM**

REGEN will provide its final report on the contracted effort to develop a 30% engineering design of a hybrid collection solution. The hybrid approach allows for effluent only collection and/or a mix of effluent only and gravity fed collection technologies to be used. Under any of the approaches, advanced on-site systems may also be considered. A copy of the provided report will be included with the agenda packet, but can also be found on-line at:

<https://www.losolivoscsd.com/files/a7cf8e45f/Los+Olivos++Basis+of+Design+Hybrid+Collection+Analysis+Rev+1.0+Final.pdf>

Note that following the discussion with REGEN, some adjustments are expected to be made before the report is finalized.

**Note: Due to a late arrival by REGEN staff, this item was heard after item 9.**

GM Savage briefly introduces Mr. Bounds and thanks him for being in person after dealing with getting stuck in the Los Angeles freeway system. Mr. Bounds provides an overview of the final report. He starts with the objectives of the project: gravity, effluent, and advanced onsite systems. He briefly walks through the various zones 1-6 he considered and used for his evaluation. He then talks about the alternatives evaluated, with a focus on the use of effluent sewers. He touches on the various technologies and what each of them means. He talks about the hydraulics, constituents, and waste strength of the approaches. He talks about infiltration of water during rain events on gravity sewers. He touches on nitrogen and nitrate reduction. Mr. Bounds then touches on how different collection systems work and what is “on lot” for each parcel. He notes that on lot components and their associated costs were not included in the Stantec design. He then drills into the details of hydraulic estimates and wastewater strength for each type of system. HE then walks through costs for lines (pipes) and entire system approaches. He notes that it is not a direct comparison to what Stantec calculated, particularly due to the on lot costs being included in the numbers REGEN calculated. He talks about how he gathered costs – noting that he reached out to local vendors and obtained quotes. For his analysis, he used directional boring for pipes (as opposed to trenching, which was more expensive) and a \$70,000 for advanced onsite systems. Mr. Bounds then walks through maps of how effluent lines would be run through the District, and the size of pipes that would be used. He notes that there are still a few lots of concern due to setbacks from water main lines. Mr. Bounds then talks about benefits of effluent sewers. He presents his opinion that tanks that are less than 15-20 years old, can probably be reused with a new STEP (effluent) system. He then touches on benefits of gravity and advanced onsite systems. He concludes his presentation by talking about next steps, and further evaluation that is required.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

Sam Marmorstein, Tom Nelson, Jeannie Hollingsworth, Mark Herthel, Michelle de Werd, Sam Marmorstein (again), Kathryn Lohmeyer, and Anna Marie Gott speak.

Director Palmer asks Mr. Bounds about maintenance and ownership of equipment and “batch” purchasing of components. Director Palmer asks about communities that have a system. Mr. Bounds responds that he would recommend the District own all components. Director Palmer talks about doing the whole District at once and then connecting to the City of Solvang, and the impact and likelihood of obtaining grants for such a system. Mr. Bounds comments on the national state of grants and availability for small and disadvantaged communities.

Director Parks asks about whether laterals (service connections) and all on-site components are included in the costs presented. Mr. Bounds confirms he did include all of it. Mr. Bounds comments on advance on-site systems and what is/isn't included in the cost estimates.

Director Stormo asks about reuse of existing septic tanks. Mr. Bounds responds related what may or may not work.

Director Stormo asks about tank sizes for residences in the community. Mr. Bounds responds that tank sizes of 1000 or 1500 would be typical, essentially a 10x12 foot area needs to be dug. Director Stormo asks about whether an existing tank site could be used or if you would need to put a tank somewhere else on a residential lot. Mr.

Bounds responds that it can be site dependent and will be influenced by what it takes to abandon an existing tank. President Kennedy asks about whether a location that already has advanced on-site, could they still connect to an effluent collection system? Mr. Bounds says they could connect and adds commentary about other functions that an advanced on-site system could already be using. He comments that you would probably abandon the secondary treatment already occurring on the parcel and just reusing the tanks that are in place. President Kennedy then comments on disruption to the community and asks about overruns. Mr. Bounds talks about the inclusion of a 30% construction reserve in the estimates provided. He comments on potential issues that may be encountered.

President Kennedy asks about on-site time to install. Mr. Bounds responds that it would be typical for about two days per parcel. With a rough two-year total timeline for full installation.

Director Parks asks if there are other questions from the public commentary that Mr. Bounds would respond to. Mr. Bounds comments on capital costs and maintenance costs, pumping frequencies, and so on. Director Parks then asks about effluent tank pumping. Mr. Bounds responds that it would be similar to what is happening today and will be reliant on occupancy of individual homes. Director Parks asks about parcels where there might be potential problems (3-5 parcels) and costs. Mr. Bounds responds that he will attempt to look at the locations this evening. He adds that a combination of parcels' water into a single tank might be an approach as well.

**President Kennedy reopens the floor to public comment.**

Stuart Karten, Tim Deebo(?), and Tom Nelson speak.

Director Palmer floats a concept of accepting the report and then having staff set up additional discussion and/or a workshop. GM Savage and Vice President Palmer discuss possible next steps.

**Motion to receive and file the amended Final Report, including any typos, when REGEN provides it to the General Manager and direct the General Manager and District Engineer to create a comparison of the effluent and gravity designs and reports.**

**Motion by: Director Palmer; Second: Director Parks**

**Voice vote: 4-0**

**8. CONSIDERATION OF A CONTRACT WITH CAROLLO ENGINEERING, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$40,240, REGARDING PROCESSING OF THE LOADS AND FLOWS OF LOCSO WASTEWATER ON THE CITY OF SOLVANG'S WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE**

Carollo Engineering, the City of Solvang's selected wastewater treatment plant engineering firm, has provided an estimate to evaluate the impact of processing LOCSO influent (gravity fed, effluent only, or a mix) on the City's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). The evaluation laid out in the scope and budget considers impacts of additional loads and flows on the WWTP capacity as well as water quality of the influent. The effort is estimated by Carollo to cost \$40,240. The work is estimated to take four months. Carollo's expertise and experience focuses on the City of Solvang's WWTP. Consequently, a separate consultant, with more specific expertise is being recommended to evaluate the rest of the City's infrastructure.

**Note: Due to a late arrival by REGEN staff, this item was heard before item 7.**

GM Savage introduces the contract, noting that this is the first of two contracts being considered tonight. The two contracts combined represent the first serious cut at understanding real costs of connecting to the City of Solvang's infrastructure. He adds that the Carollo contract addresses the actual treatment plant side of the equation and that the WSC contract addresses much of the transportation side of the equation. He adds that neither contract fully addresses all of the questions that might be asked, on either side of the equation and that some work will need to be done to understand the costs and effort to transmit effluent from the District to Sunny Field Park, where connection to the City's infrastructure would begin. GM Savage closes his comments by stating that District Counsel has not reviewed the draft contracts and he is still waiting for input from the two contractors. He suggests that if the Board is in favor of approving the contracts, that they make a motion something like: Motion to direct the President and General Manager to sign an agreement with Carollo Engineering in an amount not to exceed \$40,240, after review and approval by District Counsel.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

No requests to speak.

Vice President Palmer asks why the contract says October. GM Savage points out that the effort is anticipated to take four months, hence the date. Director Palmer comments about this being a first effort at determining feasibility for connecting to Solvang. Director Parks comments that both contracts are important. Director Stormo adds her commentary about the public's interests that she has heard from. President Kennedy comments that the lines that would be used to transmit waste down Alamo Pintado Road will not allow for others on Alamo Pintado to connect.

**Motion to direct the President and General Manager to sign an agreement with Carollo Engineering in an amount not to exceed \$40,240, after review and approval by District Counsel.**

**Motion by: Vice President Palmer; Second: Director Parks**

**Roll Call vote: 4-0**

**9. CONSIDERATION OF A CONTRACT WITH WATER SYSTEMS CONSULTING, INC., IN THE AMOUNT OF \$18,787, REGARDING CONNECTING THE LOCSO TO THE CITY OF SOLVANG'S WASTEWATER TREATMENT INFRASTRUCTURE**

Water Systems Consulting, Inc. (WSC) is the City of Solvang's wastewater treatment infrastructure engineering firm. WSC recently performed a full analysis on the City of Solvang's wastewater treatment infrastructure. The analysis examined existing infrastructure outside of the treatment plant itself and included a detailed look at pipes, lift stations, and other wastewater infrastructure. The proposed contract would evaluate the impact and related costs for

moving LOCSO wastewater (influent) through the existing City of Solvang infrastructure to the actual treatment plant. The Carollo Engineering contract looks at the impacts on the plant itself. The effort is estimated by WSC to cost \$18,787. The work is estimated to take three months.

GM Savage reiterates that this contract is the second of two on this evening's agenda. This one deals with the transportation of influent from Sunny Field Park to the actual plant and examines things like pipes and lift stations. He closes by saying that a motion similar to the prior one should be made as the contract has not been fully vetted by District Counsel.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

No requests to speak.

Director Parks asks about effluent as opposed to gravity collection. Director Stormo asks about connecting from the District to Sunny Field Park. GM Savage responds saying that would be a separate effort.

**Motion to direct the President and General Manager to sign an agreement with WSC in an amount not to exceed \$18,787, after review and approval by District Counsel.**

**Motion by: Vice President Palmer; Second: Director Stormo**

**Roll Call vote: 4-0**

**10. UPDATE AND DISCUSSION ON GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS**

The LOCSO successfully installed three new groundwater monitoring wells and tested all five wells earlier this year. Discussion continues about need for additional testing and test wells. Since the last Regular meeting of your Board, the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (CCRWQCB) has recommended additional approaches to testing the District's existing wells. Given the CCRWQCB's recommendations, additional quotes from GSI Water Solutions (GSI) were sought to perform the work. The GSI effort, which includes the previously discussed "split sample" approach to testing the existing five wells is estimated at \$22,150 (see attached estimate). In addition, the CCRWQCB recommends that the District obtain high-quality location data for the existing wells. The data would provide "Northing, Easting, and Elevation" (NEE) information (essentially a latitude, longitude, and elevation) for each well. The NEE data could then be used to determine actual water levels underground. Current approaches only allow for determining the depth of water below ground surface, as measured from the top of a well. The NEE data will allow the level of water between existing wells to be compared. Efforts were made to obtain quotes from several surveying firms. In the end, Gromatici, a surveying firm in Los Olivos was selected. The effort to obtain NEE data for the five wells, and provide it in a format suitable for future use by the District, CCRWQCB, and other jurisdictions is \$1,300 (see attached estimate). Combined, these two efforts essentially exhaust the remaining County of Santa Barbara Environmental Health Services (EHS) grant funding.

Previously, the Board had discussed an interest in doing additional testing north of Highway 154. Staff obtained a separate estimate from GSI to perform this testing. The estimate is \$3,500. Note that GSI has commented on a number of potential issues with the testing, including potential damage to equipment, need to remediate any damage to the well, and general unknowns about the construction and depth of the well.

Information on the District wells and related testing can be found on-line at:

<https://www.losolivoscsd.com/district-drills-three-additional-groundwater-monitoring-wells-6ee4aedd-e69b-4137-afc1-bc072cbcb7d0>

GM Savage introduces the item. He notes that the sampling on the District's five wells is anticipated to be done tomorrow (5/15/2024). The sampling will cover the "split sample" that was previously discussed. A split sample takes a sample at or near the top of the water in the well and another sample at or near the bottom of the well. This provides comparison data for the constituents found at different levels in the groundwater table. GM Savage reminds the Board that many of the wells have some 50-75 feet of "sleeve" – meaning the wells are often close to 100 feet deep and water flows into the well for the bottom 75 feet or so. Thus, water might first be encountered 30 feet below ground surface which could contain different constituents from water at the bottom of the well, some 75 feet deeper. At the direction of the CCRWQCB, the District will be testing for many constituents as noted in the item's description.

GM Savage then comments on the land surveying contract with Gromatici. He notes that Gromatici is a local company, based in Los Olivos, with offices less than a hundred yards from MW-2. The land survey is helpful to understand water flows. GM Savage attempts to describe how the data will be used. He notes that while relative depth (top of well to where water is first encountered) is known, what is not known is the elevation of the top of each

well. Gromatici provided data will provide this data. Once the top of a well is known, you can then compare actual elevations of water between wells and begin to make educated assumptions about water flows and direction.

GM Savage notes that these two expenditures (sampling and surveying), essentially exhaust the EHS grant. Consequently, if the Board wants to perform more testing or drill additional wells, unless another grant is found, the expense would come from District funds.

GM Savage then comments on the proffered well north of Highway 154. He comments on GSI's concerns about unknowns related to the well and potential costs should a problem be encountered testing the well. He concludes his comments by stating that GSI's estimate to test the well is \$3,500. Any issues or damage would be in addition to that.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

Mark Munoz, Paul Rohrer, and Anna Marie Gott speak.

Director Parks comments that the additional testing is fine and information that we need. He adds that the geolocation of the wells is helpful information. He then talks about the highest concentration being at MW-2, at the northern edge of the District and comments that the information could be beneficial. Director Palmer comments on the additional requests from the CCRWQCB and our efforts to move towards a solution. Director Stormo comments that the additional information would be helpful, and that data from other wells would be helpful.

President Kennedy comments that a well north of Highway 154 would be helpful. President Kennedy asks CCRWQCB Assistant Director (AD) Thea Tryon to comment on the well testing. AD Tryon comments needs for additional wells and that the recommended sampling will provide the data to confirm whether or not District septic tanks are the primary contributor to nitrate contamination in the region. Foxen Canyon landfill is approximately two miles north of the District. Landfills have very specific requirements for testing. AD Tryon notes that there is not any evidence of a plume coming from Foxen Canyon.

Director Palmer asks AD Tryon about the additional testing requirements and whether they were brought up previously. AD Tryon responds that it is helpful to confirm sources and that the request for additional testing was in response to public comment.

Director Parks asks about any concerns the CCRWQCB might have about our NE most well having the highest concentration. AD Tryon responds by talking about the potential well north of 154. Director Parks asks about concentrations within the District. AD Tryon responds that this is why the land surveying is so important.

**Motion to move forward with the testing and geolocation that are scheduled for tomorrow, and put further discussion on new wells on hold until more information is obtained.**

**Motion by: Director Parks, Second: Director Palmer**

**Voice vote: 4-0**

**President Kennedy reopens the floor to public comment.**

Mark Herthel, Tom Nelson, Michelle de Werd, Kathryn Rohrer, and Anna Marie Gott speak.

Director Palmer asks where else within the valley these constituents are being testing for. AD Tryon comments that the testing is a common method to determine nitrate sources and that the levels exceed drinking water standards.

Director Parks asks about the testing of pharmaceuticals and personal care products. The Board discusses process and how best to move activities forward. GM Savage comments that the prior motion can stand, you can pass an additional motion, or you can rescind the prior motion. Director Parks asks if there is any law requiring the District to perform this additional testing. GM Savage responds that the additional testing is being done in response to public comment at prior meetings.

Vice President Palmer starts to make a motion regarding the prior motion and having staff make a recommendation on what to test. GM Savage describes the activities that are set to occur and the list of constituents that are proposed to be tested. HE recommends that the Board consider breaking the motion into parts: one related to the prior motion and another related to what should be sampled on May 16. VP Palmer asks if the constituents on the list have been tested for by the LOCSO. GM Savage responds that the District has not, but they are commonly tested for in other jurisdictions.

**Motion to retract the previous motion.**

**Motion by: Director Palmer, Second: Director Stormo**

**Voice vote: 4-0**

Director Stormo comments on split samples and land survey. She adds that understanding water levels is important.

Director Palmer asks if there is an urgency to doing this now. GM Savage responds that the current grant does expire at the end of County's Fiscal Year (June).

Director Parks confirms that using the grant funds makes sense, as does the geolocation.

President Kennedy concurs she would like to see the pharmaceuticals and person care product testing removed from the testing.

**Motion to move forward with the testing, after removing the pharmaceuticals and person care product, and continue with geolocation effort.**

**Motion by: Director Parks, Second: President Kennedy**

**Voice vote: 3-1 (VP Palmer opposed)**

## **11. FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 BUDGET**

The Board will review the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2024-25 (FY 2024-25). The District's FY 2024-25 will run from July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025. As part of their deliberations, the Board may set the date and time for the FY 2024-25 Budget Hearing. In keeping with the State law, notice of the Hearing must be posted more than two weeks prior to the Hearing being held. Likely dates for the Budget Hearing include June 12, 2024. The FY 2024-25 Proposed Budget can be found at:

<https://www.losolivoscsd.com/fy-2024-25-proposed-budget>

GM Savage walks through the Proposed FY 2024-25 Budget. He notes that there are still various revenues that need to be settled before the final "carry forward" number is known; meaning the \$315k number will change, likely going up.

**President Kennedy opens the floor to public comment.**

No requests to speak.

Director Stormo comments that the budget looks pretty straight forward. VP Palmer comments that the Finance Subcommittee is recommending the \$160,000 intended to move the overall project forward.

**Motion to set the FY 2024-25 budget hearing for June 12, 2024 and direct the General Manager to publish the FY 2024-25 Budget hearing notice in a local newspaper, in accordance with applicable laws.**

**Motion by: VP Palmer; Second: Director Parks**

**Roll Call vote: 4-0**

## **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

These items are informational only, no action will be taken, and no public comment will be received.

## **12. REPORTS**

### **A. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS**

#### **Finance Subcommittee (President Kennedy Chair)**

- Finance Subcommittee met and did make recommendations related to the budget and REGEN report.

#### **Grants Subcommittee (President Kennedy Chair)**

- Grants Subcommittee did not meet; however, she did do some research on EIR and 60% Design grant opportunities.

#### **Project Management Subcommittee (Director Palmer Chair)**

- Project Management Subcommittee did not meet, but has a meeting scheduled for May 23.

#### **Technical Subcommittee (Director Fayram Chair)**

- Director Parks – Technical Subcommittee met twice to review and discuss the REGEN effort.

**B. GENERAL MANAGER AND DISTRICT ENGINEER COMMENTS**

The GM and DE will give reports on any meetings that they attended on behalf of the District, report on various District-related activities and/or provide status on projects. The GM may also review Budget Reports. See the packet for more details.

Notable upcoming meeting items:

May – REGEN 30% deliverable final presentation (REGEN in attendance)

May – FY 2024-25 Preliminary Budget

June – FY 2024-25 Budget Hearing, Gann Limit, Tax Assessment Authorization

GM Savage comments that unless the Board directs otherwise, he will continue his outreach and work with the City of Solvang, Mattei’s Tavern, Dunn School, and Los Olivos Elementary, coordinating with the President along the way.

GM Savage briefly walks through the meeting attachments, noting that MLH has not started the 2023 Audit work yet. He also comments that he is working with the County of Santa Barbara to straighten out the current budget and, in particular, focused on the Revenues. He notes that the EHS grant repayment requested in March still has not hit the District’s budget. As GM Savage walks through the schedule of upcoming meetings, he notes that the PM Subcommittee will be talking about it next week, but there does need to be commitment made to holding the workshops, including obtaining a professional facilitator for some of them.

Director Palmer asks about activities and getting a project description to the State.

**13. DIRECTORS COMMENTS**

Directors will give reports on any meetings that they attended on behalf of the Board and/or choose to comment on various District-related activities. Directors may also request future agenda topics at this time.

Director Parks – None

Director Stormo – None

Vice President Palmer – None

President Kennedy – None

**14. ADJOURNMENT**

**Motion to adjourn at: 9:16 PM.**

**Motion by: Director Palmer, Second: Director Parks**

**Voice vote: 4-0**

Respectfully submitted:



Guy W. Savage

General Manager – Los Olivos Community Services District

Approved:

\_\_\_\_\_  
President Julie Kennedy